

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,475 11/15/2001		11/15/2001	Werner Philomena Theophiel Camps	WSP:201 US	9458
24041	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
SIMPSON	& SIMP	PSON, PLLC		MEREK, J	OSEPH C
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	- ·,			3727	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/30/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		
\triangleleft	$\gamma \gamma$	

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/937,475	CAMPS, WERNER PHILOMENA THEOPHIEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph C. Merek	3727		

Advisory Action	09/937,475	CAMPS, WERNER PHILOMENA THEOPHIEL Art Unit					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner						
•	Joseph C. Merek	3727					
The MANUNO DATE of this account is the	·						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			lication				
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	eal, but prior to the date of filing ar	n appeal brief. The No	otice of Appeal				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
Appear has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	not be entered is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	y and was not earlier presented. S on of the status of the claims after e	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(entry is below or attac	1). ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	r allowance				
See Continuation Sheet.		\wedge	\mathcal{N}				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	W6(s)/ Da_C/W					
		Joseph C. Merek Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727	₹				
		, at Jint. 0121					

Application No.
Part of Paper No. 20050324

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment is not in proper form. The status identifier "previously amended" is no longer permitted. See 37 C.F.R. 1.121, effective July 30, 2003.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The effective filing date of the instant invention is the filing date of the PCT, which is March 24, 2000. The publication date of the reference is December 23, 1999. The priority document is in a foreign language and applicant must submit a certified translation of the document in order to properly predate the applied reference.